Assessing Literacy Skills Training Needs of Persons Deprived of Liberty: A Study in a City in Region 8, Philippines

Lemuel Karl A. Tadios¹, Rinaliza R. Gabon² & Mar June J. Gabon³
Samar State University, Catbalogan City, Samar
lemuelkarl.tadios@ssu.edu.ph¹; gabonrina99@gmail.com²; marjune.gabon07@gmail.com³

Article Information

History:

Received 29SEP2024 Final Revision 10DEC2024 Accepted 21DEC2024

Keywords:

Correctional education Demographic factors Literacy training Reintegration **Abstract:** This study explores the relationship between demographic and contextual factors (age, sex, length of incarceration, and educational background) and the literacy skills training needs of Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL) in a City in Region 8, Philippines. A total of 80 participants, actively enrolled in literacy programs within correctional facilities, were included in the study. The primary objective is to inform the design of effective literacy training programs, contributing to an extension program to be implemented for the 2025 Lifelong Learning framework. The findings revealed significant variations in literacy training needs based on participants' educational backgrounds, with high demand for digital literacy, spoken communication, and vocabulary proficiency. In contrast, factors such as age, sex, and length of incarceration showed no significant correlation with literacy training needs. Most respondents had educational backgrounds of high school or lower, emphasizing the need for tailored programs that address both foundational and advanced literacy skills. Based on these findings, the study recommends developing literacy programs that prioritize inclusivity and adaptability, integrating digital tools and contextual learning to enhance post-incarceration employability and societal reintegration.

1. Introduction

Education serves as a transformative force, particularly within marginalized and underserved populations such as Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL). In the Philippines, the educational track of PDL reflects broader societal challenges, including limited access to quality education and the impacts of poverty and systemic inequality (De Castro et al., 2021). These challenges are even more pronounced in rural correctional facilities, where resource constraints and infrastructural limitations exacerbate

disparities in learning opportunities (UNESCO, 2018; Smith, 2020). Despite these obstacles, literacy and adult education programs in prisons hold immense potential for rehabilitation, personal development, and social reintegration.

Globally, several countries have implemented innovative best practices in correctional education that can serve as models for the Philippines. Norway, for instance, emphasizes a human-rights-based approach in its correctional education system. Inmates receive education equivalent to what is offered in mainstream society, focusing on

reintegration and personal development (Prison Education Trust, 2021). Similarly, Germany prioritizes vocational training within its prisons, equipping inmates with practical skills that enhance employability upon release (UNODC, 2021).

The United States, through its Second Chance Pell Grant initiative, provides financial aid to incarcerated individuals for postsecondary education, demonstrating how policy support can increase access to quality education (Davis et al., 2013). Likewise, the United Kingdom has integrated digital literacy programs in prisons, enabling inmates to acquire critical skills needed in an increasingly digital world (Bayliss, 2003). These examples underscore the importance of aligning correctional education programs with broader societal needs and technological advancements.

In the Philippine context, efforts by the Bureau of Jail Management and Penology (BJMP) and other governmental organizations like the Department of Education's Alternative Learning System (ALS) aim to address literacy gaps among PDL (Cayabas et al., 2023). However, resource limitations and contextual barriers often constrain these initiatives, highlighting the need for evidence-based strategies that draw from global best practices while addressing local realities (Domingo et al., 2022). Programs such as UNESCO's Education for All and the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) guidelines emphasize the importance of tailoring literacy programs to the unique needs of incarcerated individuals, reinforcing the role of education in achieving sustainable development and social equity (UNESCO, 2018; UNODC, 2021).

Merriam and Bierema (2014) emphasize that by exploring the relationships

between demographic and contextual factors (age, sex, length of incarceration, and educational background) and literacy skills training needs, the study specifically investigates how these factors influence the literacy skills training needs of PDL, based on this and the literature review. Thus, this study aims to optimize program design and delivery, ensuring equitable access to education within correctional facilities.

2. Objectives

This study analyzed the relationship between demographic and contextual factors (age, sex, length of time in the prison facility, and educational background) and the literacy skills training needs of Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL) to inform the design of effective literacy training programs, serving as a foundation for the 2025 Lifelong Learning framework.

It specifically sought to:

- a. examine the demographic and contextual characteristics of Persons
 Deprived of Liberty (PDL), including factors such as age, sex, length of time in the prison facility, and educational background;
- evaluate the literacy skills training needs of PDL across various essential skill areas, and
- analyze the relationship between demographic and contextual factors and the identified literacy skills training needs of PDL.

Hypothesis

Demographic and contextual factors (age, sex, length of time in the prison facility, and educational background) do not have a significant relationship with the literacy skills training needs of Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL).

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study employed a quantitative descriptive-correlational research design to explore the literacy skills training needs of Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL) and their relationships with demographic and contextual factors, such as age, sex, length of time in the prison facility, and educational background. The design allowed for the collection of measurable data to assess training needs and examine correlations between variables.

3.2. Research Instrument

The data for the study was gathered using structured survey questionnaires and observations. The survey questionnaire, adapted from UNESCO (2018), was designed to collect demographic information and assess literacy skills training needs among Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL). As the questionnaire is publicly available and widely used by agencies globally, including those working in adult education and corrections, it is recognized for its reliability and validity.

Observations of program sessions were conducted to provide contextual insights and enhance the interpretation of quantitative findings. To ensure the instrument's relevance and clarity for the specific population, it was reviewed by experts in literacy education and corrections. This process ensured the appropriateness of the tools for accurately capturing data aligned with the study objectives.

3.3 Research Sample

The study employed a purposive sampling approach to select participants, focusing on individuals who were actively enrolled in literacy training programs within the correctional facilities. Selection criteria included varied lengths of incarceration and a demonstrated willingness to participate in the study. This method ensured the inclusion of participants with diverse demographic and

contextual backgrounds relevant to the research objectives. A total of 80 Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL) from a City in Region 8 were recruited, providing a well-rounded representation to support comprehensive analysis and insights.

3.4 Data Gathering Procedure

The data gathering procedure began with thorough preparation, including obtaining ethical approvals from institutional review boards and correctional authorities to ensure compliance with ethical standards. The instruments, including the survey questionnaire adapted from UNESCO (2018), were validated and refined before data collection.

Respondents were recruited in coordination with the Bureau of Jail Management and Penology (BJMP), ensuring the selection of eligible individuals actively participating in literacy programs. The survey questionnaires, originally in English, were translated orally to the respondents during the collection phase, with assistance from language teachers to ensure accurate understanding. Data collection was conducted in a one-to-one ratio across eight batches, allowing for personalized interaction and thorough responses, ensuring the reliability and accuracy of the gathered data.

3.5 Data Analysis

Data analysis involved a systematic approach to interpret the collected data and address the study's objectives. Descriptive statistics, including mean, standard deviation, frequencies, and percentages, were employed to summarize the respondents' demographic profiles and literacy skills training needs. To examine relationships between demographic variables (age, sex, length of time in prison, and educational background) and literacy skills training needs, Spearman's rho correlation analysis was utilized.

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess normality, indicating non-normal distributions across all variables.

Consequently, non-parametric statistical methods were employed to ensure reliable and valid analysis. This approach offered valuable insights into factors influencing literacy skills training needs among Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL). Table 1 shows

the Shapiro-Wilk test results for the study variables. A p-value below 0.05 confirms that the data deviate from a normal distribution.

Table 1Normality Assumptions of Study Variables

| Groups | Valid | Shapiro-Wilk Test | Distribution Type |
|--|-------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Age | 80 | 0.955 (Stat), p = 0.007 | Non-Normal |
| Sex | 80 | 0.343 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |
| Length of time in prison facility | 80 | 0.723 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |
| Educational Background | 80 | 0.871 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |
| Skill 1 – Understanding and interpreting written texts, including identifying main ideas, details, and making inferences. Skill 2 – Writing clear, concise, and | 80 | 0.846 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |
| organized text for practical purposes, such as filling out forms, writing letters, or creating reports. | 80 | 0.845 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |
| Skill 3 – Expressing thoughts, ideas, and information clearly and effectively through spoken language. | 80 | 0.887 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |
| Skill 4 – Analyzing, evaluating, and synthesizing information to make informed decisions or solve problems. | 80 | 0.895 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |
| Skill 5 – Using digital tools and platforms, such as computers, smartphones, and the internet, to access, evaluate and communicate information. | 80 | 0.836 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |
| Skill 6 – Attentively listening, comprehending, and responding appropriately to spoken information and instructions. | 80 | 0.882 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |
| Skill 7 – Accurately recalling specific information or instructions from spoken language. | 80 | 0.883 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |
| Skill 8 – Effectively communicating ideas and information to an audience in a structure and confident manner. | 80 | 0.870 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |
| Skill 9 – Understanding and using a broad range of words and phrases in both spoken and written communication. | 80 | 0.823 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |
| Skill 10 – Expressing ideas, emotions, and stories in a written format using imagination and originality | 80 | 0.866 (Stat), p = <0.001 | Non-Normal |

The results show that all variables, including demographic factors (age, sex, length of time in prison, and educational background) and the 10 literacy skills assessed in the study, exhibit non-normal distributions, as indicated by their p-values all being less than 0.05. This suggests that the data for these variables do not adhere to a normal distribution and may require the use of non-parametric statistical methods for further analysis. Specifically, the p-values for all variables and skills are reported as being less than 0.001, confirming the non-normal nature of the data.

As a general rule, non-normal distributions require careful consideration when applying statistical methods, as traditional parametric tests assume normality. This finding implies that non-parametric methods, such as Spearman's rho correlation analysis, were appropriate for analyzing the data in this study.

3.6 Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations were prioritized to protect participants' rights and well-being. Informed consent was obtained after explaining the study's objectives and procedures, with participation being voluntary. Confidentiality was ensured using pseudonyms and secure data storage. The study received ethical approval from

institutional review boards and correctional authorities, with ongoing monitoring to maintain ethical standards. This framework ensured a responsible and respectful research process.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Demographic Contextualized Factors

4.1.1 Respondents' Age and Sex

Table 2 shows the age distribution of respondents, with 15% aged 51 and above and 33.75% in the 31–40 age group. Females are underrepresented, comprising only 10% of the population, with just one in the older age group. These disparities highlight the need to tailor literacy programs to address varying needs and engagement levels (Knowles et al., 2014).

The demographic disparities among Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL) highlight the need for targeted literacy programs. Institutions working with PDL should focus on inclusive strategies that address the challenges faced by underrepresented groups. Flexible schedules, tailored content, and inclusive approaches can improve participation and enhance program effectiveness.

Table 2 *Respondents' Age*

| | Sex | Sex | | | | Percentage |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|
| Age Range | Male (f) | Percentage % | Female (f) | Percentage % | — Total (f) | % |
| 20 – 30 years old | 16 | 20.00 | 2 | 2.50 | 18 | 22.50 |
| 31 – 40 years old | 25 | 31.25 | 2 | 2.50 | 27 | 33.75 |
| 41 – 50 years old | 21 | 26.25 | 2 | 2.50 | 23 | 28.75 |
| 51 – 60 years old | 4 | 5.00 | 1 | 1.25 | 5 | 6.25 |
| Above 60 years old | 6 | 7.50 | 1 | 1.25 | 7 | 8.75 |
| Total | 72 | 90.00 | 8 | 10.00 | 80 | 100.00 |
| Mean | 39.9 year | rs old | 43.7 year | s old | 40.5 year | rs old |
| SD | 11.34 yea | ars | 12.11 yea | nrs | 11.4 yea | rs |

4.1.2 Respondents' Length of Time in Prison Facility

Table 3 shows the respondents' length of time in the prison facility, with 51.25% having been incarcerated for 1–3 years, while only 1.25% have spent more than 9 years in the facility. The average length of incarceration is 1.96 years (SD = 2.12), indicating that most respondents have relatively short durations of stay. This finding suggests a need for literacy programs that accommodate varying incarceration periods, with a focus on providing rapid skill acquisition for those with shorter stays (UNODC, 2021).

The high proportion of respondents with shorter incarceration periods implies the necessity of literacy programs that are concise yet effective, enabling participants to acquire practical skills within limited

timeframes. For those with longer stays, more in-depth programs could be implemented to foster sustained development. Institutions working with Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL), such as the Bureau of Jail Management and Penology (BJMP), should collaborate with academic and non-governmental organizations to create programs tailored to the diverse needs of this population. Such initiatives align with global efforts to enhance the educational opportunities and reintegration prospects of incarcerated individuals (Council of Europe, 2019).

4.1.3 Respondents' Educational Background

Table 4 shows that the majority of respondents (46.25%) have attained a high school education, while only 2.50% reported no formal education. The lowest

Table 3Respondents' Length of Time in Prison Facility

| Length of Time (in year) | Total (f) | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Less than a year | 29 | 36.25 |
| 1 – 3 years | 41 | 51.25 |
| 4 – 6 years | 4 | 5.00 |
| 7 – 9 years | 5 | 6.25 |
| More than 9 years | 1 | 1.25 |
| Total | 80 | 100.00 |
| Average | | 1.96 years |
| SD | | 2.12 years |

Table 4Respondents' Educational Background

| : | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--|
| Educational Background | Total (f) | Percentage (%) | |
| No Formal Education | 2 | 2.50 | |
| Elementary Level | 21 | 26.25 | |
| High School Level | 37 | 46.25 | |
| College Level | 19 | 23.75 | |
| Vocational Training | 1 | 1.25 | |
| Total | 80 | 100.00 | |
| | | | |

representation is among those with vocational training, at 1.25%. These findings highlight predominantly low- to middle-level educational attainment among Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL), which can influence their ability to engage with literacy and skill-based training programs.

To address the educational disparities among Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL), interventions should focus on foundational skills and practical applications that align with the educational background of most PDLs. With the majority having high school or lower educational attainment, literacy programs should target basic reading, writing, comprehension, and functional skills for daily life, such as understanding legal documents and filling out forms. These programs will equip PDLs with the essential skills for personal development and reintegration into society.

Collaboration between the Bureau of Jail Management and Penology (BJMP), academic institutions, and literacy advocates is crucial to create modular, contextually relevant programs. Additionally, targeted outreach and preparatory modules are necessary for individuals with minimal or no formal education. By bridging knowledge gaps, these efforts will ensure that all PDLs have access to inclusive educational opportunities, preparing them for successful reintegration.

4.2 Respondents' Literacy Skills Training Needs

Table 5 presents the self-assessed literacy skills training needs of Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL), showing an overall mean score of 3.38 (SD = 1.09), indicating that most literacy skills are perceived as "Moderately Needed." However, some specific skills, including expressing thoughts effectively through spoken language (3.43), using digital tools and platforms (3.64), and understanding a broad range of words and phrases (3.59), were rated as "Highly Needed." This highlights the growing importance of digital literacy and

communication skills for PDLs, particularly as these competencies play a critical role in their successful reintegration into society (UNESCO, 2018; UNODC, 2021).

The findings suggest that literacy training programs should prioritize the development of digital literacy and spoken communication skills, as they are seen as essential for personal and social growth. With the increasing reliance on technology in various aspects of life, equipping PDLs with digital literacy skills will enhance their employability and facilitate their adaptation to post-incarceration life. Moreover, communication skills, particularly in spoken language, are crucial for effective interaction in social, professional, and educational settings.

While digital literacy and communication skills emerged as the most critical, moderately needed skills such as interpreting written texts and listening comprehension should not be overlooked. These foundational skills are crucial for building higher-level competencies, forming the base for more complex literacy skills. Ensuring that PDLs have a strong grasp of these basic skills will provide them with the tools needed to excel in more advanced literacy tasks and navigate the demands of the modern world.

Given these findings, institutions, in collaboration with the Bureau of Jail Management and Penology (BJMP), should consider integrating these focus areas into modular training programs tailored specifically to the needs of PDLs. The inclusion of technology-driven approaches and experiential learning strategies will not only engage participants but also create more practical and dynamic learning experiences. These methods can enhance the effectiveness of literacy programs and better prepare PDLs for reintegration by providing them with essential skills that will contribute to their social and professional success postincarceration.

Table 5

Respondents' Literacy Skills Training Need Levels

| Literacy Skill (UNESCO, 2018) | Mean | Interpretation |
|---|------------|----------------|
| | Score (SD) | • |
| Skill 1 – Understanding and interpreting written texts, including | 3.34 | Moderately |
| identifying main ideas, details, and making inferences. | (SD=1.52) | Needed |
| Skill 2 – Writing clear, concise, and organized text for practical | 3.29 | Moderately |
| purposes, such as filling out forms, writing letters, or creating | (SD=1.53) | Needed |
| reports. | | |
| Skill 3 – Expressing thoughts, ideas, and information clearly and | 3.43 | Highly Needed |
| effectively through spoken language. | (SD=1.30) | |
| Skill 4 – Analyzing, evaluating, and synthesizing information to | 3.23 | Moderately |
| make informed decisions or solve problems. | (SD=1.35) | Needed |
| Skill 5 – Using digital tools and platforms, such as computers, | 3.64 | Highly Needed |
| smartphones, and the internet, to access, evaluate and | (SD=1.37) | |
| communicate information. | | |
| Skill 6 – Attentively listening, comprehending, and responding | 3.34 | Moderately |
| appropriately to spoken information and instructions. | (SD=1.38) | Needed |
| Skill 7 – Accurately recalling specific information or instructions | 3.39 | Moderately |
| from spoken language. | (SD=1.43) | Needed |
| Skill 8 – Effectively communicating ideas and information to an | 3.26 | Moderately |
| audience in a structure and confident manner. | (SD=1.46) | Needed |
| Skill 9 – Understanding and using a broad range of words and | 3.59 | Highly Needed |
| phrases in both spoken and written communication. | (SD=1.46) | |
| Skill 10 – Expressing ideas, emotions, and stories in a written | 3.30 | Moderately |
| format using imagination and originality | (SD=1.45) | Needed |
| Overall Mean | 3.38 | Moderately |
| | (SD=1.09) | Needed |

Legend: 1.00-1.80 (Not Needed); 1.81-2.60 (Slightly Needed); 2.61-3.40 (Moderately Needed); 3.41-4.20 (Highly Needed); 4.21-5.00 (Extremely Needed)

4.3 Correlational Analysis of Respondents' Demographic and Contextual Factors with Their Literacy Skill Training Needs

4.3.1 Respondents' Age and Literacy Skills Training Need Level

Table 6 presents the Spearman's rho correlation coefficients and p-values assessing the relationship between respondents' age and their self-reported literacy skills training needs. The analysis shows no statistically significant correlations, with p-values exceeding the 0.05 threshold. Spearman's rho values range from -0.070 to 0.177, indicating negligible associations between age and perceived literacy training needs.

This finding aligns with research by Yamashita et al. (2019), which suggests that

age is not a major factor in literacy skills or motivation to learn. Literacy training programs for Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL) can, therefore, focus on universal instructional strategies, considering factors like educational background and individual motivations to improve effectiveness. Collaborative efforts between the Bureau of Jail Management and Penology (BJMP) and academic institutions should address these broader factors to enhance educational interventions.

4.3.2 Respondents' Sex and Literacy Skills Training Need Level

Table 7 shows that the Spearman's rho correlation coefficients between respondents' sex and literacy skills training needs are not statistically significant (p >

0.05), indicating no substantial association. The values range from -0.190 to 0.092, suggesting negligible correlations. This supports previous findings that emphasize the importance of factors like educational background and personal motivations over sex in determining literacy needs (Dahlan et al., 2020; UNESCO, 2020).

The lack of differences by sex suggests that literacy programs can adopt a gender-neutral approach. However, including gender-sensitive content may enhance engagement. Collaborative efforts between the Bureau of Jail Management and Penology (BJMP) and academic institutions should ensure equitable opportunities for both sexes while addressing barriers to participation.

4.3.3 Respondents' Length of Time in Prison Facility and Literacy Skills Training Need Level

Table 8 examines the correlation between the length of time in the prison facility and literacy skills training needs. The results show that most correlations are not statistically significant (p > 0.05), except for Skill 9—"Understanding and using a broad range of words and phrases in both spoken and written communication"—which has a significant negative correlation (Spearman's rho = -0.239, p = 0.033). This suggests that longer incarceration is associated with a lower perceived need for training in this skill. The lack of significant correlations for other skills implies that the length of incarceration does not strongly influence literacy training needs, except for areas like vocabulary, where longer stays may offer more informal learning opportunities (OECD, 2016; Finn, 2020).

The significant correlation for Skill 9 points to the potential impact of prolonged incarceration on language development, possibly through informal peer learning or self-study. However, the absence of significant correlations for other skills suggests that literacy training programs should be designed to meet the broader needs of Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL),

irrespective of their length of stay. Collaborative efforts between the Bureau of Jail Management and Penology (BJMP) and academic institutions should aim to create structured programs that supplement informal learning with targeted skill development. Advanced modules for those incarcerated longer could further build on existing knowledge.

4.3.4 Respondents' Educational Background and Literacy Skills Training Need Level

Table 9 illustrates the correlation between respondents' educational background and their literacy skills training needs. All skills show statistically significant negative correlations (p < 0.05), with Spearman's rho values ranging from -0.302 to -0.547. This suggests that individuals with higher educational attainment perceive lower literacy training needs across all skills. The strongest correlation is found in Skill 6— "Attentively listening, comprehending, and responding appropriately to spoken information" ($\rho = -0.547$, p < 0.001), while the weakest is in Skill 5—"Using digital tools and platforms" ($\rho = -0.302$, p = 0.006). These findings align with research indicating that higher educational attainment provides foundational skills, reducing the need for further literacy training (Kirsch et al., 2016; OECD, 2020).

The significant negative correlations suggest that literacy training programs should prioritize individuals with lower educational backgrounds, as they demonstrate higher training needs. These programs should focus on developing foundational literacy and practical skills for those with minimal formal education. Collaboration between the Bureau of Jail Management and Penology (BJMP) and academic institutions can help tailor training interventions to address gaps in literacy skills, particularly for those with limited schooling. Incorporating technology and context-specific learning materials can further enhance the relevance and effectiveness of these programs.

Table 6

Correlation Between Respondents' Age and Literacy Skills Training Need Level

| Literacy Skill | Spearman's rho value | p-value | Decision/ Interpretation |
|---|----------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Skill 1 – Understanding and interpreting written texts, including identifying main ideas, details, and making inferences. | -0.024 | 0.832 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 2 – Writing clear, concise, and organized text for practical purposes, such as filling out forms, writing letters, or creating reports. | -0.013 | 0.912 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 3 – Expressing thoughts, ideas, and information clearly and effectively through spoken language. | -0.070 | 0.537 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 4 – Analyzing, evaluating, and synthesizing information to make informed decisions or solve problems. | 0.149 | 0.187 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 5 – Using digital tools and platforms, such as computers, smartphones, and the internet, to access, evaluate and communicate information. | 0.177 | 0.117 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 6 – Attentively listening, comprehending, and responding appropriately to spoken information and instructions. | 0.013 | 0.906 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 7 – Accurately recalling specific information or instructions from spoken language. | 0.032 | 0.780 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 8 – Effectively communicating ideas and information to an audience in a structure and confident manner. | 0.007 | 0.949 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 9 – Understanding and using a broad range of words and phrases in both spoken and written communication. | 0.051 | 0.650 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 10 – Expressing ideas, emotions, and stories in a written format using imagination and originality If the p-value is < 0.05, reject the null hypothesis (Here) | 0.124 | 0.272 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |

If the p-value is < 0.05, reject the null hypothesis (Ho), significant

If the p-value is > 0.05, accept the null hypothesis (Ho), not significant

 Table 7

 Correlation Between Respondents' Sex and Literacy Skills Training Need Levels

| Literacy Skill | Spearman's | p- | Decision/ |
|---|------------|-------|-------------------------------|
| | rho value | value | Interpretation |
| Skill 1 – Understanding and interpreting written texts, including identifying main ideas, details, and making inferences. | 0.024 | 0.832 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 2 – Writing clear, concise, and organized text for practical purposes, such as filling out forms, writing letters, or creating reports. | -0.026 | 0.818 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 3 – Expressing thoughts, ideas, and information clearly and effectively through spoken language. | -0.016 | 0.890 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 4 – Analyzing, evaluating, and synthesizing information to make informed decisions or solve problems. | 0.079 | 0.484 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 5 – Using digital tools and platforms, such as computers, smartphones, and the internet, to access, evaluate and communicate information. | -0.190 | 0.091 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 6 – Attentively listening, comprehending, and responding appropriately to spoken information and instructions. | -0.081 | 0.473 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 7 – Accurately recalling specific information or instructions from spoken language. | -0.021 | 0.851 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 8 – Effectively communicating ideas and information to an audience in a structure and confident manner. | 0.092 | 0.415 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 9 – Understanding and using a broad range of words and phrases in both spoken and written communication. | -0.014 | 0.901 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 10 – Expressing ideas, emotions, and stories in a written format using imagination and originality | -0.101 | 0.372 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |

If the p-value is < 0.05, reject the null hypothesis (Ho), significant

If the p-value is > 0.05, accept the null hypothesis (Ho), not significant

Table 8Correlation Between Respondents' Length of Time in Prison Facility and Literacy Skills Training Need Levels

| Literacy Skills | Spearman's rho value | p- value | Decision/Interpretation |
|---|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Skill 1 – Understanding and interpreting written texts, including identifying main ideas, details, and making inferences. | -0.114 | 0.316 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 2 – Writing clear, concise, and organized text for practical purposes, such as filling out forms, writing letters, or creating reports. | -0.042 | 0.710 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 3 – Expressing thoughts, ideas, and information clearly and effectively through spoken language. | -0.072 | 0.523 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 4 – Analyzing, evaluating, and synthesizing information to make informed decisions or solve problems. | 0.034 | 0.762 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 5 – Using digital tools and platforms, such as computers, smartphones, and the internet, to access, evaluate and communicate information. | 0.134 | 0.237 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 6 – Attentively listening, comprehending, and responding appropriately to spoken information and instructions. | 0.034 | 0.767 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 7 – Accurately recalling specific information or instructions from spoken language. | 0.127 | 0.261 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 8 – Effectively communicating ideas and information to an audience in a structure and confident manner. | -0.120 | 0.289 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |
| Skill 9 – Understanding and using a broad range of words and phrases in both spoken and written communication. | -0.239 | 0.033 | Reject Ho, Significant |
| Skill 10 – Expressing ideas, emotions, and stories in a written format using imagination and originality | -0.186 | 0.098 | Accept Ho, Not Significant |

If the p-value is < 0.05, reject the null hypothesis (Ho), significant

If the p-value is > 0.05, accept the null hypothesis (Ho), not significant

Table 9

Correlation Retween Respondents' Educational Background and Literacy Skills Training Need Levels

| Literacy Skills | Spearman's rho value | p- value | Decision/Interpretation |
|---|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Skill 1 – Understanding and interpreting written texts, including identifying main ideas, details, and making inferences. | -0.537 | <0.001 | Reject Ho, Significant |
| Skill 2 – Writing clear, concise, and organized text for practical purposes, such as filling out forms, writing letters, or creating reports. | -0.541 | <0.001 | Reject Ho, Significant |
| Skill 3 – Expressing thoughts, ideas, and information clearly and effectively through spoken language. | -0.516 | <0.001 | Reject Ho, Significant |
| Skill 4 – Analyzing, evaluating, and synthesizing information to make informed decisions or solve problems. | -0.351 | 0.001 | Reject Ho, Significant |
| Skill 5 – Using digital tools and platforms, such as computers, smartphones, and the internet, to access, evaluate and communicate information. | -0.302 | 0.006 | Reject Ho, Significant |
| Skill 6 – Attentively listening, comprehending, and responding appropriately to spoken information and instructions. | -0.547 | <0.001 | Reject Ho, Significant |
| Skill 7 – Accurately recalling specific information or instructions from spoken language. | -0.464 | <0.001 | Reject Ho, Significant |
| Skill 8 – Effectively communicating ideas and information to an audience in a structure and confident manner. | -0.459 | <0.001 | Reject Ho, Significant |
| Skill 9 – Understanding and using a broad range of words and phrases in both spoken and written communication. | -0.485 | <0.001 | Reject Ho, Significant |
| Skill 10 – Expressing ideas, emotions, and stories in a written format using imagination and originality | -0.399 | <0.001 | Reject Ho, Significant |

If the p-value is < 0.05, reject the null hypothesis (Ho), significant

If the p-value is > 0.05, accept the null hypothesis (Ho), not significant

5. Conclusion and Recommendation

Literacy skills training needs among Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDL) were found to vary significantly based on their educational background, highlighting its pivotal role in shaping inmates' perceptions of their learning requirements. In contrast, factors such as age, sex, and length of incarceration showed limited or no significant correlation with most literacy skills, indicating that these variables may not be primary determinants of training needs. Respondents demonstrated a particularly high demand for skills related to digital literacy, spoken communication, and vocabulary proficiency, underscoring their importance in preparing inmates for reintegration into society. However, foundational literacy skills, such as interpreting written texts and writing practical documents, were rated as moderately needed, reflecting gaps in basic education that need to be addressed.

The demographic profile of the respondents revealed that the majority had a high school education or lower, further emphasizing the need for literacy programs tailored to both foundational and advanced skills. These programs should prioritize inclusivity and adaptability to meet the diverse needs of the PDL population. Interventions must also leverage inmates' existing skills and experiences to ensure that the programs remain relevant and engaging. To address disparities in educational attainment within correctional facilities, comprehensive strategies are required to provide equitable learning opportunities, focusing on the practical application of acquired skills.

To achieve these objectives, it is recommended to develop literacy training programs that emphasize foundational skills for those with limited educational backgrounds, while also offering advanced modules in digital literacy and communication skills. Incorporating technology-based learning opportunities is crucial to equip PDL with competencies necessary for post-incarceration

employability and societal participation. Additionally, drawing on inmates' pre-incarceration skills and work experiences can make learning activities more engaging and relevant. Addressing resource gaps in correctional facilities, through partnerships with governmental and non-governmental organizations, is essential to ensure the availability of materials, infrastructure, and qualified educators. Holistic prison education programs should emphasize both personal development and skill acquisition, fostering rehabilitation and reintegration, while contributing to broader societal goals.

6. Bibliography

- Bayliss, P. (2003). Learning behind bars: Education in prisons. Teaching and Teacher Education, 26(1), 31-36.
- Cayabas, J. P., Codod, C. L. C., Sumeg-ang, D. A., & Lacaben, E. P. (2023).

 Contributions and partnership strategies of external stakeholders in the implementation of the alternative learning system in Bontoc District: Insights from teachers. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 22(7), 454-472.
- Council of Europe. (2019). Education in prisons: A comparative perspective on prison education in the EU. Council of Europe.
- Dahlan, N. A., Tan, T. H., & Ismail, F. (2020). Exploring literacy needs in prison education programs: Gender perspectives. International Journal of Correctional Education, 71(2), 65-80.
- Davis, L., Steurer, S. J., & Scott, D. (2013). The effectiveness of correctional education: A review of the research. Journal of Correctional Education, 64(4), 175-192.
- De Castro, L., Lopez, A. A., Hamoy, G., Alba, K. C., & Gundayao, J. C. (2021). A fair allocation approach to the ethics of

- scarce resources in the context of a pandemic: The need to prioritize the worst-off in the Philippines. Developing world bioethics, 21(4), 153-172.
- Domingo, S. N., Abrigo, M. R. M., Sicat, C. J. D., Bernardo, P. U., Saquing, M. P. V., & Ocbina, J. J. S. (2022). Outcome Evaluation of the Public Management Development Program (No. 2022-50). PIDS Discussion Paper Series.
- Finn, P. (2020). The future of education in prisons: A global perspective. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime.
- Kirsch, I., Braun, H., Yamamoto, K., & Sum, A. (2016). Adult literacy in the United States. NCES.
- Merriam, S. B., & Bierema, L. L. (2014). Adult education: Foundations of practice. Jossey-Bass.
- OECD. (2016). Skills for social progress: The power of social and emotional skills. OECD Publishing.
- Prison Education Trust. (2021). Education in prisons: A human rights approach. Prison Education Trust.
- Smith, M. (2020). The challenges of adult education in prisons. Journal of Prison Education and Reentry, 6(2), 47-59.
- UNESCO. (2018). Education for all: Global education monitoring report 2018. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2021). Handbook on education in prison. UNODC.
- Yamashita, J., Ishikawa, K., & Makino, S. (2019). Adult learners in correctional education: Motivations, barriers, and strategies. Journal of Adult Education and Learning, 15(1), 1-15.